Why You Can't Trust Medical Advice On Vaccines

Raking in the cash







Nearly everyone agrees that medical professionals should be free of conflicts-of-interest, presenting you with neutral, unbiased language on the appropriate types of medical support that is tailored to your personal situation.

Medical Professionals Are Bribed For Specific Actions

Back in 2021, as part of a campaign to raise awareness on the deep issues of the COVID-19 shots, the COVID-19 questions document was drafted, with an eye towards both warning of the shots and raising awareness.

One citation included an article by CBS News back in 2017, showing that by 2015, already half of the US healthcare workforce of doctors had been compromised by kickbacks:



Those of you familiar with the '10% for the Big Guy' will instinctively know what a kickback is. For those of you not familiar, a kickback is where a person agrees a contract or service or

product, knowing they will receive a portion of the profits 'back' from the other side (the portion given back is called a 'kickback').

For example, a politician or public servant agrees a military procurement contract with a weapons contractor, and the contractor donates to the politician's campaign funds in the next election cycle.

This is a crime within US law, and many industries are impacted by this.

\$2.4 billion in kickbacks is a mindblowing number. According to Statista.com, there were just over 1 million doctors in the US in 2015:

Health, Pharma & Medtech > Health Professionals & Hospitals

Total number of doctors of medicine in the U.S. from 1949 to 2015*



Assuming half that number (~500,000) took \$2.4 billion in kickbacks evenly, that would mean they gained \$4,800 each. This isn't a handful of pens; this is a sizeable chunk of money. One distorting your healthcare treatments, and costs of living.



Jikkyleaks showing how pharmaceutical companies hand out free goodies like pens, goodie bags and more.

In November 2013, Johnson&Johnson — same manufacturer of the horribly toxic adenovirus shot — paid *more* than \$2.2 billion to settle allegations with the Department of Justice over kickbacks for drugs:

WASHINGTON - Global health care giant Johnson & Johnson (J&J) and its subsidiaries will pay more than \$2.2 billion to resolve criminal and civil liability arising from allegations relating to the prescription drugs Risperdal, Invega and Natrecor, including promotion for uses not approved as safe and effective by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and payment of kickbacks to physicians and to the nation's largest long-term care pharmacy provider. The global resolution is one of the largest health care fraud settlements in U.S. history, including criminal fines and forfeiture totaling \$485 million and civil settlements with the federal government and states totaling \$1.72 billion.

That settlement would foot the majority of the 2015 kickback bill alone, so it is clear the extent of kickbacks is *far worse than what CBS have reported*. That is just the kickbacks that were *found*. Far many are not *found*.

And if bribes don't work, then pharmaceutical companies conspire with bought-out medical professionals to ruin the reputations of doctors who dissent (point raised by Jikkyleaks), much like what happened to Dr Andrew Wakefield:



Merck made a "hit list" of doctors who criticized Vioxx, according to testimony in a Vioxx class action case in Australia. The list, emailed between Merck employees, contained doctors' names with the labels "neutralise," "neutralised" or "discredit" next to them.

Now Bribes Are Commonplace


Bribing the decisions of doctors is now medically institutionalised. Instead of corrupt pharmaceutical companies paying for it themselves, they're stealing from the taxpayer to bribe them via the government.

The NHS in the UK (credit to source: Sarah Nellie), have this scheme in place:



Community Pharmacy Enhanced Service COVID-19 vaccination programme: September 2023 to March 2024

Clicking the very first PDF leads you to a document where someone has already highlighted in yellow the bribery parts:



£5 to £10 per shot administered! £200 as an *additional* payment for every 'Completed Care Home' as if it is some sort of mass production line facility with no personal free choice. Would this

unethically influence medical behaviour? Absolutely!

As critic of NHS corruption GP Dr David Cartland exposed, one GP, 'Dr Eilir Hughes' tried to get 4,000 shots rolled out in a weekend, under the nonsense excuse it was to 'protect rural areas':



The BMJ reported how payment historically was £12.58 per shot back in 2020:



In 2021, the Independent reported it was between £15-£30 per shot:



'Rewarded?' ... bribed, more like. At 4,000 shots £12.58 per shot, Eilir would be making a whopping £50,302, in a single weekend. A year's wage! Is this what impartial medical decision making looks like? Jabs on speed dial?

Healthcare professionals are *already* salaried employees. They do not need super-duper extra bonuses for undertaking specific medical actions. This is clearly a financial conflict of interest that drastically bias medical decision making, and *it is not exclusive to the UK*.

In Australia (ignore Sky News' misleading title) it was between \$26 to a whopping \$50 per shot:

Pharmacists are now expected to receive around \$26-29 per shot while GPs will get between \$27 and \$50.

The money grubbing so-called 'healthcare professionals' were *in on it*, where so-called 'Dr Maria Boulton' demanded the government double the per-shot bribes or they would pull out:

Dr Maria Boulton, a Brisbane practice owner and director of the Australian GP Alliance of about 150 owners, said clinics should be paid double what they are getting (about \$31 for the first jab and \$24 for the second). She said she knew of several clinics that "will pull out" of the program.

Is this what caring, patient-centric healthcare looks to you? 'Give us the money or we'll withdraw our services'?

Why, what don't they like about giving the shots that require the bribe money be *doubled* for them to continue to administer it? *They're already full-time salaried employees*. Is it to do with the fact Australian healthcare workers aren't indemnified?

Happens In America

The US also does kickback schemes for the vaccines. USA today tried to do a 'factcheck' where they didn't deny the claims... they just insisted the so-called 'bonuses' were based on some other metrics as well:

But Blue Cross Blue Shield doesn't provide incentives solely based on the number of patients vaccinated, as the post suggests. Incentive

PolitiFact falsely tried to claim it was simply false:



A small problem with that. In the (attached) document "2016 Performance Recognition Program" for the "Blue Care Network" [BCN] (part of Blue Cross Blue Shield based in Michigan)...



...it clearly states they will pay \$400 per 'combo 10' (combination 10 criteria) they administer:

HEALTH CARE OUTCOMES: PREVENTIVE HEALTH

CHILDHOOD IMMUNIZATIONS – COMBO 10	
Product lines	BCN Commercial
Source	HEDIS
Description	The percentage of children 2 years of age who meet the combination 10 criteria on or before their second birthday: • (4) DTaP* vaccinations
	(3) IPV* vaccinations
	(1) MMR vaccination
	(1) VZV vaccination
	(3) HiB* vaccinations
	(3) Hepatitis B vaccinations
	(4) PCV* vaccinations
	(1) HepA vaccination
	(2 or 3) RV* vaccinations
	(2) Influenza** vaccinations
	*Vaccinations administered prior to 42 days after birth are not counted as a numerator hit.
	**Vaccinations administered prior to 180 days after birth are not counted as a numerator hit.
Continuous enrollment	Must be continuously enrolled 12 months prior to child's second birthday
Age criteria	Children who turn 2 years of age during 2016
Exclusionary criteria	Children who are documented with an anaphylactic reaction to the vaccine or its components
Numerator	The number of children who completed vaccinations as defined above
Denominator	The eligible population
Level of measure	Provider level
Target: COMM	63%
Payout: COMM	\$400 per Combo 10 completed for each eligible member

So, it is true. And clearly so.

As much as media outlets try to desperately invent deflections for their pharmaceutical financiers (for the media's sponsorships and adverts come indeed from pharmaceutical companies), the evidence is clear that healthcare professionals are both *bribed and coerced* into forcing these shots onto people.

There's even a PopSugar article where they admit to receiving kickbacks... even though kickbacks are illegal... but then disputing the source of the finances:

One pediatrician decided to get involved in the online dialogue to <u>correct a major</u> <u>misconception</u> that could lead to devastating consequences. Although the fact that doctors receive a kickback from pharmaceutical companies for administering vaccines is a factor in some anti-vaxxers' decisions, this doctor, Mikey, commented on the Refutations to Anti-Vaccine Memes' page on Facebook to explain it further.

Actually, we do get one. I'm a pediatrician. It's called a "Quality of Care Bonus" and it DOES NOT COME FROM BIG PHARMA. It comes from BIG INSURA. The INSURANCE companies pay us a bonus for taking good care of our patients. For pediatricians like me, that means making sure that kids have all their vaccines by a certain age.

Despite having hosted the article...

https://www.popsugar.com > family > doctor-explains-why-he-gets-money-giving-vaccinations-4...

Doctor Explains Why He Gets Money For Giving Vaccinations - POPS...

One pediatrician decided to **get** involved in the online dialogue to correct a major misconception that could lead to devastating consequences.

Why You Need to Vaccinate

POPSUGAR delivers the biggest moments, the hottest trends, and the...

PopSugar have since deleted the evidence after media outlets decided to spread the lie that doctors don't get financial bribes for specific decision making.



Then There's The Revolving Door Jobs, Too



As noted in our previous article "A Balanced Discussion On Masks", it turns out a great many UK government cronies are in the employ, or financial receipt of, industries that stand to benefit from such fearmongering.

Copying the vaccine-relevant segments, we have:



• Jonathan 'Bedpan Scam' Van-Tam under Moderna



Patrick Vallance, President, R&D, GSK to become UK Government's Chief Scientific Adviser

• Patrick Vallance under GlaxoSmithKline (yesman Hancock: 'Government's Chief Scientific Advisor has no input into contractual and commercial decisions on vaccine procurement' — What? Scientific advisor doesn't advise on science?)

• This article is more than 2 years old

Rishi Sunak refuses to say if he will profit from Moderna Covid vaccine

Chancellor's former hedge fund invested heavily in Moderna,

Rishi Sunak's shares in Theleme who in turn have shares in Moderna. Sunak gave Moderna
a 10-year contract with the UK for no good reason.

https://www.imperial.ac.uk > covid-19-vaccine-trial

COVAC1: Imperial COVID-19 Vaccine Trial - Imperial College London

COVAC1: Imperial COVID-19 Vaccine Trial From the outset of the pandemic, Professor Robin Shattock and colleagues in the Department of Infectious Disease have worked to develop a safe and effective COVID-19 vaccine using their innovative, self-amplifying RNA technology.

Neil Ferguson's overinflated death predictions in advocacy of extreme measures (has a
history of blowing things out of proportion) [same bad statistics Imperial College London
as 'Viki' Male who uses skewered datasets to falsely claim shots are safe for pregnancy]

Then we have the various Universities who want to cash-grab pharmaceutical grant monies, such as the University of Edinburgh, and those that want to cash in on manufacturing the shots, such as Imperial College London (mentioned above), Rutgers University, and Baylor College of Medicine, to mention a few.

Then we have such classics as **Scott Gottlieb**, member of the Pfizer board of Directors, former commissioner of the FDA and former head of his own pharmaceutical firm...



...Stephen Hahn, also former commissioner of the FDA, who joined Flagship Pioneering, a venture capital firm that launched Moderna...

network CNBC.



Former FDA Commissioner Stephen Hahn joins venture capital group behind Moderna

of National Resilience, Inc. Scientific Advisory Board Member of CellCarta. Member of the National Academy of Medicine and a contributor to the financial news

Hahn oversaw the FDA's emergency use authorization of the Moderna and Pfizer COVID-19 vaccines

Then there's the FDA in general:

Age: 51 years

[...] researchers who studied the careers of FDA medical reviewers found that more than half of the hematology-oncology assessors who reviewed drugs between 2001 and 2010 went on to work for the biopharmaceutical industry.

The Underdog: In my own personal experience of the NHS, care homes were doing this as well. Lobbyists for care homes would show up to hospital care pathway decision making centres with 'goodie bags' (including pens, per Jikkyleak's above tweet), and boxes of cakes and various food treats. The pens try to act as a reminder 'think of us... think of us...' with the constant branding. Even the goodie bags would be branded.

The lobbyists wouldn't say anything, knowing full well this would break the law, but the influence was evident: 'send elderly our way and get more treats', 'pick us we're the "nice" people'. The lobbyists don't strictly hand out cash to people, they get creative in their bribery and influence to skirt the law. Look up doctors who receive 'nice meals', for example.

Novo Nordisk bought prescribers over 450,000 meals and snacks to promote drugs like Ozempic

Nicolas Florko. STAT. July 5, 2023.

WASHINGTON – Novo Nordisk spent \$11 million on meals and travel for thousands of doctors last year, federal records show, as part of its push to promote Ozempic and other weight loss-inducing diabetes drugs.

The offering of gifts creates a 'social debt' that obliges the 'other' to repay. Accepting any such things should, frankly, be an offence. Medical decision making should weigh on the patient's choices, not how nice the box of flapjacks from a sellout shill are.

NHS management were far too comfortable with what were inappropriate visits by said lobbyists offering what were de facto bribes to influence healthcare decision making. I have the distinction of not accepting any of their bribery trash, even objecting to it, unfortunately to no avail.

This is despite the fact their visits constitute a patient-doctor *privacy violation* as well (they have line-of-sight on any patient records that happen to be viewed in said decision making centre). Not strictly on topic about vaccines but thought you'd be interested in how broken the medical system is.

One thing is for sure, you cannot trust medical advice on vaccines with so much money and conflicts of interest rolling about. They're just raking it in!

Summary

Even as early as 2015, about half of US doctors were in receipt of kickbacks for off-label drug prescribing to the tune of \$2.4 billion. Johnson&Johnson settled \$2.2 billion with the DoJ alone.

Lobbyists will use a variety of tactics, including pens, goodie bags, food treats, meals, paying for travel and just straight up paying cash based on how well they peddle a product.

This has become institutionalised, with the governments acting on pharmaceutical companies' behalf, bribing doctors with money for every shot administered, whilst greenlighting 'below radar' lobbyist activities.

Bribes for shots occur in many countries, including the UK, US, and Australia. One British GP tried to get 4,000 jabs into arms over the weekend, who would be in receipt of an estimated whopping £50,302.

Despite media gaslighting, bribes-for-shots is evident, as are the revolving door jobs between regulators, and pharmaceutical companies, such as Scott Gottlieb, Stephen Hahn, Rishi Sunak, Patrick Vallance, Jonathan Van-Tam, Neil Ferguson and 'Viki Male'. Universities often also have a financial conflict of interest.

Lobbyists within healthcare are painfully commonplace.

Read Our Other Related Articles?

- Matt Hancock Commits Perjury By Lying In Court
- Dr David Lloyd: Millionaire Profiteer
- The Murder Cycle: Deny Treatment, Cause Disease, Sell Product
- Free Crack Pipe With Every Purchase Of Globalism
- Exposed: US Military Fuel Vaccine Propaganda


Found this informative? Receive more for free!

Raise awareness?

What do you think, dear reader? Let us know in the comments.



5 Comments






JP Writes Bush Food Forager 13 hrs ago · edited 13 hrs ago ♥ Liked by The Underdog

Just last Thursday my wife's rheumatologist was going through his monthly spiel regarding the toxic drugs he prescribes for her chronic autoimmune condition (scleroderma). He'd been prescribing Enbrel (etanercept) but wanted to change it to Actemra (tocilizumab), a move I opposed due to the latter drug being laced with Polysorbate 80 (and to be honest, I dont want her on Enbrel either, but it genuinely relieves her arthritis symptoms).

Discerning my non-vocal hostility, he changed tack and suggested Brenzys (etanercept). In the course of his diatribe, he offhandedly mentioned that hospitals and pharmacies receive a kickback for selling Brenzys over Enbrel as a result of new Australian Labor government pharmaceutical policy changes.

I just wanted to ask him how much his kickback would be for poisoning my wife with sh*t drugs. We're turning to eastern herbal and alternative medicine now.



3 more comments...