

Graphene Oxide Nanoparticles are in COVID Vaccines; a new report says they are safe for humans....They are lying!



DR. PETER AND GINGER BREGGIN

MAR 4, 2024



126



78




30

Share



SciTechDaily calls graphene oxide nanoparticles a “wonder material” that is now “verified safe” in humans by new research.¹ It further declares graphene oxide is, in bold, **“A revolutionary nanomaterial with huge potential to tackle multiple global challenges could be developed further without acute risk to human health, research suggests.”**

[Continue reading below]



Breggin Alerts! Exposing Global Predators is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support our work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

tritorch@protonmail.com

Subscribe

Imagine that—graphene oxide nanoparticles are “without acute risk to human health.” That would suggest it’s harmless in vaccines, at least in the short run. The medical-industrial-military complex seems bent on proving graphene oxide nanoparticles are safe — perhaps because critical researchers have discovered them hidden in COVID “vaccines.”²

The defenders of graphene oxide nanoparticles are handicapped in making safety claims *because a motherload of research going back many years finds graphene oxide and nanoparticles in general are harmful to multiple body organs, to cells, and even to life itself across a spectrum of species.*

The actual research study³ that supposedly proved the safety of inhaled graphene oxide nanoparticles was published in *Nature Nanotechnology* in 2024. It reports and dismisses the importance of their own findings: That the blood of the volunteers who inhaled graphene nanoparticles consistently caused clotting (thrombosis) when passed through arteries removed from people with pre-existing heart disease.

Here is what the research scientists themselves conclude:

The magnitude of the greater thrombogenicity after GO [graphene oxide nanoparticles] exposure was relatively mild and would be potentially of limited consequence, *even in patients with pre-existing heart disease.* Nevertheless, we recommend that characterization of the thrombogenic potential is included in experimental models used

to develop risk assessments for graphene materials that may be of higher risk, or to consider higher exposure scenarios. [Emphasis added]

The authors have no right to draw such benign conclusions, declaring that it would be safe “even in patients with pre-existing heart disease.” As noted above, the danger signs came from testing the blood of the volunteers who had inhaled graphene oxide *on cardiac arteries taken from patients with heart disease*. The conclusion should be *that it is especially dangerous in patients with pre-existing heart disease*.

Given the surfacing of this risk in a very small study of humans, no further human studies and no commercial use of inhaled graphene nanoparticles should be allowed until further animal studies investigate this risk.

To add to these concerns, thrombosis is one of the tragic outcomes of the COVID genetic jabs, which release graphene oxide nanoparticles into the cells and the blood along with the genetic material.

The corruption of science today makes science entirely unreliable, in this case, whether we are reading a commentary in a science magazine or the research study itself.

Summarizing the Limits of the Study

Ironically, the study is largely worthless except as a *warning* about the potential clotting dangers of graphene oxide nanoparticles:

(1) The study involved only 14 health volunteers, making it virtually useless as a proof of its general safety. It takes not 14, but hundreds and preferably thousands of subjects to evaluate the safety of a potentially dangerous substance, already unleashed on the public. Nonetheless, the clotting problem was found in a statically significant number of the 14 volunteers, which make it an especially alarming and valid signal that should produce fears instead of optimism.

(2) The authors boast that this is the first respiratory study of its kind, and yet they leap to dangerous conclusions about the safety of the graphene oxide nanoparticles.

(3) The authors admit that their graphene oxide nanoparticles are far more “clean” or “pure” than those in commercial use, which makes the study less relevant to real-life use.

(4) The authors largely ignore the vast existing literature on harm caused by graphene oxide nanoparticles and nanoparticles in general to living things, including mammals.

Earlier Research Warns of Dangers

A 2016 review of “graphene-family nanomaterials” (GFN) with 240 scientific citations came to ominous conclusions about their safety, citing numerous mechanisms of harm:⁴

This review collects studies on the toxic effects of GFNs in several organs and cell models. We also point out that various factors determine the toxicity of GFNs... In addition, several typical mechanisms underlying GFN toxicity have been revealed, for instance, physical destruction, oxidative stress, DNA damage, inflammatory response, apoptosis, autophagy, and necrosis. ... and oxidative stress plays a crucial role in these pathways.

They note that lung studies are comparatively more available and have threatening results:

In conclusion, the lung injury induced by GFNs has been studied in several studies, the results of which have demonstrated inflammatory cell infiltration, pulmonary edema and granuloma formation in the lungs.

They also note that the dangers of graphene oxide have not been as well studied in other organs:

However, only a few specific studies have evaluated in other organs, such as the liver, spleen, and kidney, and the injury symptoms... nor have the related behavioural manifestations been studied. The developmental toxicity of GFNs may induce structural abnormalities, growth retardation, behavioural and functional abnormalities, and even death. ... However, based on studies of other nanomaterials toxicity, long-term GFNs

exposure may be an important factor harming health [3 citations]. Therefore, the long-term study of GFNs is necessary.

A September 2022 in-depth review of the literature on the toxicity of graphene oxide (GO) concluded:⁵

One feature that needs more attention is toxicity; it was discovered that the concentration of GO affects whether or not it is physiologically harmful, which restricts its use in the field of medicine. It has been found that there are a lot of animal species for which GO showed some cytotoxic nature. This is one of the aspects that needs more study. GO can be functionalized quite easily. Therefore, there is a need for more research to find ways to reduce its toxicity.

A 2024 study reviewed the toxic effect of all kinds of nanoparticles. Using nematodes as an animal model, they found that aging is a serious consequence.⁶

Graphene and other nanoparticles enter into the cells of the body and can do harm in a wide variety of barely studied mechanisms. More specifically, studies have already documented that graphene oxide and graphene oxide nanoparticles are potentially highly dangerous to humans.

Summary and Conclusion

The research study we discussed that used 14 volunteers subjected to inhaled graphene oxide nanoparticles actually gives very serious warnings about increased blood clotting but the announcement of the article and the article itself claim to demonstrate the safety of graphene oxide. Meanwhile graphene oxide nanoparticles are being found throughout our environment and, thanks to the COVID genetic vaccines,^{7,8} in our cells and in our blood stream.

Implications for COVID Vaccines

Concerning the COVID genetic “vaccines,” for many additional reasons they should have been stopped within days of their release. Indeed, the Johnson and Johnson vaccine was withdrawn from the U.S. because of 9 deaths due to thrombosis,⁹ while the mRNA

vaccines continue being promoted despite more than 18,000 reports of deaths to the CDC and FDA's VAERS reporting system as of January 26, 2024.¹⁰

As we document in our book, *COVID-19 and the Global Predators*, the government backed the Moderna and Pfizer deadly injections because they were picked as far back as 2010 by Bill Gates as his favorite "vaccine" investments. Potentially hundreds of other vaccines were put out of business by the government picking Gates' preferences.

No one should take these genetic vaccines. And in general, all of us need to be alert and concerned that we are being inundated with nanoparticles and nanotechnology with potentially grave consequences, now and in the foreseeable future.

Primary author Peter R. Breggin MD

References:

¹ <https://scitechdaily.com/wonder-material-graphene-verified-safe-in-groundbreaking-human-study/>

² Here is the actual Pfizer document that mentions graphene oxide on page 7: [125742_S1_M4_4.2.1-vr-vtr-10741.pdf \(phmpt.org\)](https://www.fda.gov/oc/ohrt/125742_S1_M4_4.2.1-vr-vtr-10741.pdf). Here is an article discussing it: [FDA confirms Graphene Oxide is in the Covid-19 Vaccines | Principia Scientific Intl. \(principia-scientific.com\)](https://www.principia-scientific.com/fda-confirms-graphene-oxide-is-in-the-covid-19-vaccines). One scientist has identified it in all 4 vaccines: [Dr. Robert Young Finds Graphene Oxide in All Four Vaccines and Other Disturbing Ingredients | The Liberty Beacon](https://www.libertybeacon.com/dr-robert-young-finds-graphene-oxide-in-all-four-vaccines-and-other-disturbing-ingredients). Also see: https://d6scj24zvfbb0.cloudfront.net/f70819d756ae5bccc8a997b74aa0253a/200000404-ccb3dcccb40/Dr-CAMPRA_DETECTS_GRAPHENE_IN_COVID19_VACCINES.%20NotOnTheBeeb.co.uk.pdf?ph=995abaf71c

³ Andrews, J.P.M., Joshi, S.S., Tzolos, E. *et al.* First-in-human controlled inhalation of thin graphene oxide nanosheets to study acute cardiorespiratory responses. *Nat. Nanotechnology*. (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-023-01572-3>. [First-in-human controlled inhalation of thin graphene oxide nanosheets to study acute cardiorespiratory responses | Nature Nanotechnology](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41565-023-01572-3)

⁴ Ou, L., Song, B., Liang, H. *et al.* Toxicity of graphene-family nanoparticles: a general review of the origins and mechanisms. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 13, 57 (2016).

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12989-016-0168-y>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0013935124000100>

⁵ Yadav S, Singh Raman AP, et al. An Update on Graphene Oxide: Applications and Toxicity. *ACS Omega*. 2022 Sep 28;7(40):35387-35445. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.2c03171. PMID: 36249372; PMCID: PMC9558614.

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC9558614/>

⁶ [Evaluating the adverse effects and mechanisms of nanomaterial exposure on longevity of *C. elegans*: A literature meta-analysis and bioinformatics analysis of multi-transcriptome data – ScienceDirect](#)

⁷ [BREAKING: FDA confirms Graphene Oxide is in the mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines after being forced to publish Confidential Pfizer Documents by order of the US Federal Court – The Expose \(expose-news.com\)](#)

⁸ [Graphene oxide as novel vaccine adjuvant – ScienceDirect](#)

⁹ [FDA puts the brakes on J&J vaccine after 9th clotting death reported | Ars Technica](#)

¹⁰ [COVID Vaccine Data – OpenVAERS](#)

Breggin Alerts! Exposing Global Predators is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support our work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

tritorch@protonmail.com

Subscribe



126 Likes · 30 Restacks

78 Comments



Write a comment...



shibumi · 5 hrs ago · ❤️ Liked by Dr. Peter and Ginger Breggin

It all makes perfect sense if the goal is for people to die.

/see the Deagle Report for population numbers in 2025

♡ LIKE (17) 💬 REPLY ↗️ SHARE

...

4 replies



Kathleen Janoski · 5 hrs ago · *edited 5 hrs ago* · ❤️ Liked by Dr. Peter and Ginger Breggin

VA still offering covid shots to US veterans.

"giving veterans one more chance to die for their country"

(I am gonna keep posting this comment until the Dept. of Veterans Affairs stops giving veterans the covid shot. The VA had their safety signals triggered 6 months after the shot roll out for myocarditis after the 1st Pfizer shot.

VA remained silent...still forced their employees to take the shot under federal government worker mandate.

VA never warned veterans they could be harmed.)

Does anyone in Congress even give a damn?

https://headlineusa.com/va-never-disclosed-safety-signal-for-pfizer-vaccine-after-finding-it/?utm_source=HUSA_EMAIL_NSPI700&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=HUSAemail

♡ LIKED (12) 💬 REPLY ↗️ SHARE

...

6 replies

76 more comments...