

Pfizer Vaccine Batches in the EU Were Placebos, Say Scientists

BY **ROBERT KOGON** 28 JUNE 2023 11:27 AM


SHARE




Scientists have uncovered startling evidence that a substantial portion of the batches of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 vaccine deployed in the European Union may in fact have consisted of placebos – and that the German regulator knew this and did not subject them to quality-control testing.

The scientists, Dr. Gerald Dyker, Professor of Organic Chemistry at the Ruhr University Bochum, and Dr. Jörg Matysik, Professor of Analytical Chemistry at the University of Leipzig, are part of a group of five German-speaking scientists who have been publicly raising questions about the quality and safety of the BioNTech vaccine (as it is known in Germany) for the last year and a half.

They recently appeared on the *Punkt.Preradovic* online programme of the German journalist Milena Preradovic to discuss batch variability. Their starting point was the recent Danish study showing enormous variation in the adverse events associated with different batches of the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine, or BNT162b2 per its scientific codename. The below figure from [the Danish study](#) illustrates this variation.





It shows that the batches used in Denmark, which are represented by the points in the graph, essentially break down into three groups.

The 'green batches' clustered around the green line have a moderate or moderately-high level of adverse events associated with them. In the discussion with Preradovic, Gerald Dyker takes the example of the green point furthest to the right.

As he explains, it represents the batch that was the used the most in Denmark, with somewhat over 800,000 doses having been administered. These 800,000 doses are associated with around 2,000 suspected adverse events, which gives a reporting rate of one suspected adverse event per approximately 400 doses. As Dyker puts it, "That's not a small amount if we compare to what we know otherwise from influenza vaccines." According to Dyker's calculation, the green batches account for more than 60% of the Danish sample.

There are then the 'blue batches' clustered around the blue line, which are obviously associated with an extraordinarily high level of adverse events. As Dyker notes, no more than 80,000 doses of any of the blue batches were administered in Denmark – suggesting that these especially bad batches may perhaps have been quietly pulled from the market by public health authorities.

Nonetheless, these batches had as many as 8,000 suspected adverse events associated with them. Eight thousand out of 80,000 doses would give a reporting rate of one suspected adverse event for every 10 doses – and Dyker notes that some of the blue batches are indeed associated with a reporting rate of as high as one suspected adverse event for every *six* doses!

On Dyker's calculation, the blue batches represent less than 5% of the total number of doses included in the Danish study. Nonetheless, they are associated with nearly 50% of the 579 deaths recorded in the sample.

Finally, we have the 'yellow batches' clustered around the yellow line, which, as can be seen above, barely gets off the x-axis. On Dyker's calculation, the yellow batches represent around 30% of the total. Dyker notes that they include batches comprising some 200,000 administered doses which are associated with literally *zero* suspected adverse events.

As Dyker puts it, "malicious" observers might note that "this is how placebos would look".

And malicious observers might be right. For Dyker and Matysik compared the batch numbers contained in the Danish study with publicly available information on the batches approved for release, and they made the startling discovery that almost none of the harmless batches, unlike the very-bad and not-so-bad batches, appear to have been subject to any quality-control testing at all.

Unbeknownst to most observers, it is precisely the German regulatory agency, the Paul Ehrlich Institute (PEI), which is, in principle, responsible for quality control of all the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine supply in the EU. (The institute is named after the German immunologist and Nobel Prize winner Paul Ehrlich, not, of course, the Stanford biology professor of the same name.)

This reflects the fact that the **actual legal manufacturer** of the vaccine, as well as the marketing authorisation holder in the EU, is the German company BioNTech, not its more well-known American partner Pfizer.

Dyker and Matysik found that the PEI had tested and approved for release *all* the very bad 'blue' batches, the overwhelming majority of the not-so-bad 'green' batches, but *almost none* of the harmless 'yellow' batches – as if the PEI knew in advance that these batches were unproblematic.

This is shown in the below slide from Dyker's presentation during the *Punkt.Preradovic* interview. The title reads: 'Which batches from the Danish study did the Paul Ehrlich Institute test and approve for release?'

In the PEI column of each of the tables, "ja" means, of course, that the batch was tested, "nein" means that it was not. Note that only the first batch in the 'yellow' table was tested.

Welche Chargen aus der dänischen Studie hat das Paul-Ehrlich-Institut kontrolliert und freigegeben?

Batch	Doses	ADR(all)	Group	PEI
EJ6796	11700	2160 Blue	ja	
EJ6797	43290	7092 Blue	ja	
EM0477	57330	7713 Blue	ja	
EJ6136	32760	4405 Blue	ja	
EJ6134	67860	8097 Blue	ja	
EK9788	73710	7415 Blue	ja	
EJ6789	53820	3958 Blue	ja	
EJ6790	56160	1971 Blue	ja	
EP9598	65520	965 Blue	ja	

“Blaue Chargen”: zeitnahe Schadensmeldung bei jeder 6. bis 10. Impfung.

Weniger als 5% der Verimpfungen, dennoch im zeitlichen Zusammenhang von fast 50% der gemeldeten 579 Todesfälle.

Batch	Doses	ADR(all)	Group	PEI
ER2659	153270	1176 Green	ja	
ET7205	2340	16 Green	ja	
EP9605	80730	493 Green	ja	
FA4632	11700	42 Green	ja	
ET3674	262080	936 Green	ja	
FC5295	136890	483 Green	ja	
FC2336	595530	2035 Green	ja	
FC1433	422370	1272 Green	ja	
FA7812	267930	756 Green	ja	
EX2405	113490	316 Green	ja	
FC3889	274950	736 Green	ja	
FD0932	698490	1765 Green	nein	
EW6126	814320	2048 Green	ja	
FE2083	343980	862 Green	ja	
EW4811	292500	711 Green	ja	
FD4555	381420	917 Green	ja	
FE2090	428220	1005 Green	ja	
FA8142	57330	132 Green	ja	
FC5029	245700	511 Green	ja	
EY4834	200070	396 Green	ja	
FG3716	159120	267 Green	nein	
FE9174	245700	402 Green	ja	
ER4080	46800	60 Green	ja	
FF0884	239850	147 Green	nein	

Batch	Doses	ADR(all)	Group	PEI
FG9019	95940	18 Yellow	ja	
FH3219	403650	62 Yellow	nein	
FM469	485550	67 Yellow	nein	
FJ3438	163800	19 Yellow	nein	
FJ4187	129870	14 Yellow	nein	
FH3220	129870	11 Yellow	nein	
FM3092	205920	12 Yellow	nein	
FL1072	248040	14 Yellow	nein	
FN3731	205920	6 Yellow	nein	
FK9796	180180	5 Yellow	nein	
FM3802	181350	4 Yellow	nein	
FK0115	163800	2 Yellow	nein	
FN3758	205920	2 Yellow	nein	
FK8911	181350	1 Yellow	nein	
FK9412	111150	0 Yellow	nein	
FK3289	70200	0 Yellow	nein	
FM9088	157950	0 Yellow	nein	
FN5519	193050	0 Yellow	nein	

Bei den ungefährlichen “Gelben Chargen” wurde eine Kontrolle durch das PEI in der Regel nicht als notwendig erachtet.

The caption under that table reads: “The PEI did not generally regard testing of the harmless ‘yellow batches’ as necessary.”

As Dyker put it, with notable restraint, “this would support the initial suspicion that they are maybe in fact something like placebos”.

Or, in short, to paraphrase the German scientists’ findings on the variability of the Pfizer-BioNTech batches, it would appear that the good was bad, the bad was very bad, and the very good was saline solution.

(The full *Punkt.Preradovic* interview with Gerald Dyker and Jörg Matysik is available [here](#) in German. The above translations are by your writer. A full, presumably machine-translated, English version of the interview is also available on the *Punkt.Preradovic* webpage.)

Robert Kogon is a pen name for a widely-published financial journalist, translator and researcher working in Europe. Subscribe to his [Substack](#) and follow him on [Twitter](#).

Stop Press: Watch the researchers [discussing their findings](#), with English subtitles.

Stop Press 2: Geoff Pain on Substack has [suggested](#) there may be an innocent explanation for these strange data linked to the fact that the adverse event reports the scientists looked at were only from Denmark but the batches were distributed across the world, where some adverse events (including deaths) were reported. It’s still not clear though why the zero-adverse-event lots would line up nearly perfectly with the ones not tested by the German regulator. If there are any updates on this we’ll post them here.

Tags: Adverse events BionTech COVID-19 fraud Germany Pfizer Vaccine

DONATE

We depend on your donations to keep this site going. Please give what you can.

[DONATE TODAY](#)

COMMENT ON THIS ARTICLE

You’ll need to set up an account to comment if you don’t already have one. We ask for a minimum donation of £5 if you’d like to make a comment or post in our Forums.

[SIGN UP](#)

Previous Post

[Stagnation Under the Conservatives](#)

Next Post

[The ‘Equity in Cricket’ Report is Stuffed With Divisive Critical Race Theory and Misses the Real Challenges Facing the Sport](#)

Please log in to comment

Profanity and abuse will be removed and may lead to a permanent ban.

25 COMMENTS

📷 ⚡ 🔥 Oldest



Mogwai 3 days ago

Very curious...now I'm wondering if those yellow 'placebo' ones were destined to go somewhere specific. Such as in the arms of the people pushing this entire agenda, namely the politicians and their advisors. I'm not sure if evidence exists of where they ended up. As I do not subscribe to "cock-up theory" this is the only hypothesis I can come up with..

👍 363 -1 🗨️



DomTaylor 3 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [Mogwai](#)

Mike Yeadon did some excellent work about a year ago exposing the co-ordination of different batches of jabs amongst the different manufactures: <https://rumble.com/vsadoe-100-of-covid-19-vaccine-deaths-were-caused-by-just-5-of-the-batches.html>. <https://howbadismybatch.com/> is also worth a look to identify the most harmful batches.

One can see the attraction for power-hungry psychopaths of mandated, regular jabs whose content can be varied at will: killing-off the sick and elderly to decrease state and private pension liabilities; removing political opponents; spreading sickness when people 'don't follow the rules' or threaten to rebel; ensuring long term dependency on state health services and pharmaceutical companies; and all the time creating plausible deniability as many (who received saline) don't experience such adverse reactions. Fortunately, however, this has been a cocked-up conspiracy from the outset.

👍 198 -1 🗨️



Mogwai 3 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [DomTaylor](#)

I don't believe it's just pot luck that nobody who was pushing the world to get jabbed ended up getting injured. I think there was a couple of US governors, wasn't one Newsome and the other guy (can't remember his name) who can no longer string a coherent sentence together, but there's a reason world leaders and people like Fauci remain perfectly fine while everyone else fills up the various adverse event reporting systems. No such thing as coincidences. Can you imagine some VIP, a really prominent person dropping dead suddenly or getting a severe vax injury? It would just take one incident like that and it would be enough to influence the uptake of jabs going forward and could decimate the entire narrative which so much rests on. So I believe there's placebos being set aside for a reason.

Also, thanks to Jikky's revelation ages ago, we know in Australia at least, batches were reserved for Pfizer staff that had no safety reports associated with them, so controlling who gets what batch is totally doable in all countries presumably. I'll have to have a look at the Yeadon vid as I've seen it advertised but don't think I ever got around to watching it. Thanks for the share. Jikky's interesting thread;

"Do you know what else is not in the death batch log?

Any of the 7 batches reserved for Pfizer employees.

No, I'm not kidding:

FF0884
FA4598
FE3064
FA7338
FA7812
FC8736
FC3558"

<https://twitter.com/Jikkyleaks/status/1607609256521633798>

👍 146 0 🗨️



JASA 2 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [Mogwai](#)

It is also interesting that Fauci takes high dose Vitamin D3 (I think he admitted it in an interview quite a while back), yet doesn't recommend it as a general policy.


Hmmm.

 Last edited 2 days ago by JASA

 98  0 



AEC  3 days ago

 Reply to [DomTaylor](#)

Actually it was Mike's colleagues Craig Paardekoooper and Sasha Latypova's Project Enigma team who built the database behind howbadismybatch.com – I know he'd be pleased to accord credit where credit is due.

Craig has continued his analyses, and has a Telegram channel. Sasha has a Substack, and has done truly remarkable work in conjunction with Katherine Watt (substack Bailiwick News).

All four – Mike, Craig, Sasha and Katherine – are absolute heroes.

 154  0 



BurlingtonBertie  2 days ago


 Reply to [AEC](#)

Incredibly modest heroes who don't see that the work they're doing makes them anything special.

 42  0 



Will L  2 days ago

 Reply to [DomTaylor](#)

Yes, I read Mike Yeadons report and go with it entirely. Bottom line.. they were fishing for results of the different batches, which in turn gave them plausible deniability..

The great unwashed would have surely been spooked if people all around them started dropping like flies. This whole covid thing was obviously 'war gamed' well in advance, and every possible scenario envisaged..

 39  0 



Jon Garvey  3 days ago

My first thought – giving 30% of placebo doses will, at a stroke, reduce the recorded rate of adverse effects significantly. Safe.


And if they already knew that the jabs wouldn't reduce any of the parameters of efficacy except by statistical sleight of hand, then there's zero cost in terms of measuring the pandemic. Effective.

But should anyone analyse random phials, there's a 70% chance of finding a real vaccine, so the scam remains hidden, at least until now, when the authorities are able to say, "It's all over – let's move on."

 113  -1 



stewart  3 days ago

 Reply to [Jon Garvey](#)

The hypothesis could be validated by checking to see if the yellow batches were mostly later ones.

 27  0 



FerdIII  3 days ago

Wow just wow. Tell me again about the 'science'. Denmark – 5% of the batches produced 50% of injury and death. 30% were placebos and harmless. 65% produced the other 50% of death and injury. So the lots, batches and QA are all over the place. 'Safe and effective' the idiots moan. How did 5% get manufactured to cause so much damage? Who got the 30% that were placebos?

 126  0 



huxleypiggles  3 days ago

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/how-the-flu-disappeared-during-the-covid-era/5823860>

The C1984 was re-branded 'flu as Dr Mike Yeadon has been saying for a couple of years.

 80  -3 



Will L  2 days ago

 Reply to [huxleypiggles](#)

It sure was.. I've relayed this to many people, and if I'd got a fiver for the amount of blank stares I received in answer to my information I'd have been able to take myself off on a very exotic holiday.

Of course I'd have made sure I was double boosted and had a good supply of masks before I left for said exotic climes.. 😊

👍 22 0 🗨️



soundofreason 2 days ago

I suppose there's no way to fill in the data with how many caught the bug correlated with the batch numbers their jab doses came from?

Of course not.

👍 25 0 🗨️



EppingBlogger 2 days ago

Has anyone done work on the death rate among the political class. I have not heard of a single MO dying of Covid and I wonder what is the statistical probability of such an outcome.

👍 73 0 🗨️



Marcus Aurelius knew 2 days ago

Over 18 months ago, *Craig Paardekooper* knew from very simple analysis of the publically available VAERS data (which captures batch number amongst several other factors) that certain batch numbers were responsible for far more adverse events. Pfizer "inserted" these batches at three very specific intervals, apparently in gradually reducing 'toxicity', whereas Moderna appeared to have randomised it.

He also noticed that those batch numbers had a specific pattern to their suffixes.

I was able to reproduce his results independently (I am a Data Scientist, for the last 12 years professionally).

🔗 Last edited 2 days ago by Marcus Aurelius knew

👍 92 0 🗨️



transmissionofflame 2 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [Marcus Aurelius knew](#)

Perhaps it is some kind of experiment

👍 32 0 🗨️



john1T 2 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [transmissionofflame](#)

Yes, all one big placebo controlled experiment. What's more they knew in advance that some of those batches with the specific suffixes would do tremendous damage, and released them anyway. There should be a Nuremberg style trial for these people.

👍 48 0 🗨️



CaseyJones 2 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [Marcus Aurelius knew](#)

How do these numbers fit in with the 10-15% weekly excess deaths reported across the world, not to mention increases in disabilities and work hours lost? Are the EU percentages of bad, very bad, and placebo represented across the world? I suppose an individual member of the political class will know where he fits in the pecking order if he experienced an adverse effect.

👍 18 0 🗨️



CaseyJones 2 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [Marcus Aurelius knew](#)

Also, if the placebos were deliberate, then we can rule out lack of spike protein observed in some people to doses being stored at the wrong temperature, located at the top or bottom of the vial, or injected into the blood instead of muscle, as some have surmised.

👍 20 0 🗨️



Will L 2 days ago

🗨️ Reply to [Marcus Aurelius knew](#)

Good post..

👍 12 0 🗨️



richardw53 2 days ago

What a brilliant bioweapon for the Malthusian Globalists – release a vaccine where you can select toxicity depending on the batch administered.

66 0



Will L 2 days ago

Reply to [richardw53](#)

Exactly Richard.. a brilliant bioweapon indeed..

21 -1



RTSC 2 days ago

If you've created a novel bio-medical product which is undergoing a mass human experiment, it seems to me it would be highly likely that several versions will have been created for testingto see which is most efficacious.

They weren't trying to cure or prevent Covid: if they were, the jabs would have been rejected at first base since they did neither.

They were carrying out a mass human experiment since the plan is to use mRNA as a platform technology in the future.

59 0



Grahamb 2 days ago

And behaviour, access to parts of society, ability to work and travel was all controlled by the EU Green Pass despite many people knowingly being given a shot of saline. Jail is too good for some people involved in this.

43 0



The Real Engineer 1 day ago

It all looks like an experiment to me, a control group (yellow) and two different doses, one of which was very dangerous. This cannot be a mistake!

19 0

NEWSLETTER

[VIEW TODAY'S NEWSLETTER](#)

To receive our latest news in the form of a daily email, enter your details here:

SUBMIT

DONATE